

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/828,519	04/06/2001	Andrew W. Lo	1869-003A 6245			
9629 7590 05/20/2005 MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP			EXAMINER			
			FELTEN, DANIEL S			
	LVANIA AVENUE NW N, DC 20004		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	<b>.,</b>		3624			
			DATE MAILED: 05/20/2009	DATE MAILED: 05/20/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action							
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief							

Application No.		Applicant(s)
	09/828,519	LO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Daniel S Fetten	3624

Defere the Filing of an Appeal Drief	<del> </del>				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Daniel S Felten	3624			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICAT	ION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOW	ANCE.			
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing d	late of the final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advievent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI ). which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a	f the final rejection. RST REPLY WAS FILE ) and the appropriate exte	D WITHIN TWO		
been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	itutory period for reply originally set in the s after the mailing date of the final rejection	final Office action; or (2) on, even if timely filed, ma	as set forth in (b) by reduce any		
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on 20 April 2005. A brief is date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or a appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.3	37(e)), to avoid dismi	ssal of the		
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO		because		
<ul> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet</li> <li>appeal; and/or</li> </ul>		educing or simplifying	the issues for		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	, ,	jected claims.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		, timely filed amendm	nent canceling		
7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an	explanation of		
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).					
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a		
10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	ched.		
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ince because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s).			
13.	VINCENT MILLIN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE	REv. Daniel Felter			
Vineas Melle	TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600	AU 3624 Business Methods			
mes Milli		Dusiness Methods			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)